

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,549	FAENZA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876

All Participants:

Status of Application: quayle

(1) Lisa M. Caputo.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Carl Wischhusen (Reg. No. 43,279).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in order to discuss the limitations of claims 21 and 21, and how they didn't contain some of the limitations of independent claim 1. The attorney proposed an amendment to the claims, which examiner deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner obtained authorization for an examiner's amendment to correct these claims.